

INTRODUCTION

An Introduction to Atheism, Agnosticism, and Nonreligious Worldviews

Thomas J. Coleman III
Coventry UniversityRalph W. Hood Jr.
University of Tennessee at ChattanoogaHeinz Streib
Universität Bielefeld**Guest Editors Foreword: Atheism, Agnosticism, and Nonreligious Worldviews**

Research in psychology of religion has roots stretching back into the 19th century; however, only recently has it begun to give sustained focus on atheists, agnostics, and types of nonreligious worldviews (Coleman, Hood, & Shook, 2015; Streib & Klein, 2013). This development is important for at least two reasons. Nonreligion and nonbelievers in gods comprise a substantive and perhaps growing population that cannot be ignored. Also, the psychology of religion is incomplete without a consideration of those who are variously nonreligious and nonbelievers in gods. Before introducing the collection of nine articles for this special issue of *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, “Atheism, Agnosticism, and Nonreligious Worldviews,” we reflect on estimated prevalence of atheist and nonreligious individuals, discuss misconceptions associated with nonreligion, note how investigating sec-

ular worldviews is critical for the psychology of religion, and provide a brief overview of the diversity of nonreligion.

Atheism and formations of nonreligion have been a continually underestimated phenomenon in American psychology, which has primarily focused on American Protestantism (Hood, Hill, & Spilka, 2018). Social scientists rarely seek to investigate or acknowledge their existence across historical settings (cf., Brown, 2017), and whereas it is only recently that such positions have become discussed, “atheists have always been with us” (Rossano, 2012, p. 82). However, Keysar and Navarro-Rivera (2013) place estimates at between 450 million and 500 million individuals, or about 7% of the global population. Also nonreligious (self-)identification is increasing throughout the world (Keysar, 2017), and some researchers now consider places like Norway, Sweden, and East Germany to be overwhelmingly secular (Schmidt & Wohlrab-Sahr, 2003; Zuckerman, 2009). A recent analysis of the 2014–2016 European Social Survey found that nonreligious individuals were a majority population in greater than half of the 22 countries surveyed (Bullivant, 2018). Stinespring and Cragun (2015) provide an empirically grounded model suggesting the number of nonreligious could reach almost half of the United States population in the next 25 years, and research suggests that children are much less religious than their parents (Cragun, Hammer, Nielsen, & Autz, 2018; Twenge, Exline, Grubbs, Sastry, & Campbell, 2015). Research indicates there are hidden atheists in religiously sanctioned positions—pastors of congregations and Rabbinical leaders who hide their nonbelief from their faithful adherents (Dennett & LaScola, 2010; Shrell-Fox, 2015; The Clergy Project, 2018).

In terms of First World nations, the United States stands out for its relatively low number of self-identified atheists, usually ranging between 3% and 12%, depending on the question asked. There remains a variety of limitations to consider when interpreting self-reports of religious and nonreligious identification (see Coleman & Jong, 2018). One of the most pressing issues to consider here is the perceived social undesirability of atheism worldwide (Gervais, 2014; Gervais et al., 2017; also see Cheng, Pagano, & Shariff, 2018), and the tendency of individuals to respond in socially desirable ways (Paulhus, 2002). In a recent study using the unmatched count technique used for studying socially sensitive topics (e.g., Raghavarao & Federer, 1979), Gervais and Najle (2018) found that as much as an estimated 32% of their representative American sample identified as atheist—almost tripling pre-

Editor's Note. This is an introduction to the special issue “Atheism, Agnosticism, and Nonreligious Worldviews.” Please see the Table of Contents here: <http://psycnet.apa.org/journals/rel/10/3/>.—RLP

Thomas J. Coleman III, Brain, Belief, and Behaviour Research Laboratory, Coventry University; Ralph W. Hood Jr., Department of Psychology, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga; Heinz Streib, Research Center for Biographical Studies in Contemporary Religion, Universität Bielefeld.

First and foremost, we offer our gratitude to the current Editor-in-Chief of *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, Ralph L. Piedmont, and the Assistant to the Editor, Brenda Helsing, along with the journal Editorial Board. They recognized the need for a special issue dedicated to atheism and were pivotal in bringing this to fruition. We are further grateful to American Psychological Association journals Peer Review Coordinator, Jenna Miley, and Journal Production Editor, Anthony J. Ouellette. We also thank Luke W. Galen, Carmen Eugenia Guevara, Jonathan Jong, Leron Shults, and Filip Uzarevic for providing critical feedback for this introduction to the special issue. And last but not least, we are very much indebted to and thankful for the many scholars who assisted in the reviewing of articles.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Thomas J. Coleman III, Brain, Belief, and Behaviour Research Laboratory, Coventry University, 1703 Sea Gull Lane, Hixson Tennessee, 37343. E-mail: colema56@uni.coventry.ac.uk

vious estimates. When relying on traditional survey methods in cultures in which religious identification is perceived to be socially desirable and identification as atheist is stigmatized, the number of atheists may be an underestimation. Because we can expect the number of atheist and nonreligious individuals in the world to become more visible, the task ahead for psychologists of religion and nonreligion is to ensure that appropriate conceptualizations, methodologies, and psychometric instruments are developed to suitably capture and represent these worldviews along with the diversity they embody.

When examining the role of religious variables in health and well-being outcomes among atheists, studies indicate that they actually have deleterious effects (Speed, 2017; Speed & Hwang, 2017; Speed & Fowler, 2016). This is because the efficacy of religiosity may depend on an individual's valuation of religion (Klinger, 2012). In a well-rounded psychology of religion, researchers will need to draw valid comparisons between atheist and theist samples. One way to achieve this is to identify and include meaningful constructs that atheists do value instead of centering inquiry on independent variables (e.g., gods, prayer) that are valued by one group and not the other. Atheists and the nonreligious value other things and have other beliefs, other worldviews, and other sources of meaning worthy of investigation in their own right (Coleman, Silver, & Holcombe, 2013; Farias, 2013; Streib & Klein, 2013).

Although categories such as nonreligion and atheism are linguistically defined in terms of the ways an individual does not believe or behave, they also conceal a wide range of positive beliefs, values, behaviors, and worldviews (Lee, 2014; Silver, Coleman, Hood, & Holcombe, 2014). Only recently have social scientists begun to probe for these components that populate the lives of the nonbelievers. Although most studies have operationalized atheists or the nonreligious as a single, unified category (for important exceptions, see Schnell & Keenan, 2011; Silver et al., 2014), these studies can provide insight into the psychological diversity found in what might be more appropriately labeled as atheism(s) and nonreligion(s).

For example, research suggests that some nonreligious can be characterized by increased self-mastery and individualism (Farias & Lalljee, 2008; Houtman & Mascini, 2002; Schnell & Keenan, 2011). Without belief in any divine authority, atheists are more likely to view morality and meaning in life as self-constructed (Caldwell-Harris, Wilson, LoTempio, & Beit-Hallahmi, 2011; Smith, 2011; Speed, Coleman, & Langston, 2018), which may lead to greater diversity in worldview. Some atheists appreciate and participate in ritual activities and enjoy the community of their fellow nonbelievers as well as believers (Cimino & Smith, 2015; Farias et al., 2018; Smith, 2013). Intellectual pursuits and scientific interests are recurring themes among atheists (Caldwell-Harris et al., 2011; Coleman & Arrowood, 2015; Schnell, 2015; Smith, 2013). Some nonreligious are particularly apathetic about religion and even their own disbelief (Lee, 2014; Quack & Schuh, 2017; Silver et al., 2014); whereas in some contexts, and in some aspects, they may be more rigid and set in the beliefs they do hold (Uzarevic, Saroglou, & Clobert, 2017). Both atheists and the nonreligious find moments of awe, wonder, and beauty to be deeply moving experiences, with common themes directed at nature and humanity (Coleman et al., 2013; Deal & Magyar-Russell, 2018; Delaney, 2016; Preston & Shin, 2017).

The broad conceptual convergence on aspects of nonreligious and atheist worldviews is a promising sign for a psychology of religion in need of nonreligious measures with positive content. Further exploration of the diversity of these worldviews components and their psychological antecedents and consequences will advance the psychology of religion and nonreligion.

Our intention for this special issue of *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, guest edited by the authors of this introduction, was to challenge psychologists and cognitive scientists to move beyond and investigate atheism, agnosticism, and nonreligious worldviews as full-fledged constructs rather than a solely negative identity. Moreover, we were also interested in the development of measurement instruments specifically for use in atheist samples because this represents one of the most pressing lacunae for the field. The nine articles chosen for this issue address each of these topics in unique ways.

Taves, Asprem, and Ihm (2018) outline a building block methodology for moving beyond the dichotomies of religion and nonreligion and toward a broader, meaning systems and worldview approach. Importantly, their methodology allows for the systematic investigation of positive components to nonreligious belief systems and for their comparison with other belief systems. Shults, Gore, Lemos, and Wildman (2018) provide the first cognitively informed computational models of the mechanisms that drive the expansion of nonreligious worldviews within a population. They utilize empirical data of the sort with which psychologists and cognitive scientists of religion are familiar but demonstrate how new computer simulation tools are able to exceed the analytic and predictive capacity of traditional methodologies. Keller, Bullik, Klein, and Swanson (2018) combine the richness of qualitative data with the precision of quantitative methodology in comparing two in-depth case studies each from the United States and East Germany. Their study examines the autobiographical narratives of different ways of becoming atheist in different cultural surrounds. Deal and Magyar-Russell (2018) present a qualitative investigation of how environmental activists experience transcendence directed at the marvels of the everyday, natural world. They argue that previous theories of sanctification are unable to accommodate the phenomenologically similar nontheistic orientations, and provide further directions for integration. Cheng et al. (2018) introduce a scale measuring perceived microaggressions among atheists. This instrument is particularly important given atheists are one of the most discriminated against groups in the world and will help establish exactly how atheists perceive this prejudice. Bradley, Exline, Uzdevins, Stauner, and Grubbs (2018) present the first scale measuring different reasons that some individuals go godless. Because many current atheists are deconverts, this instrument advances the study of how individuals arrive at their nonbelief. Gruneau Brulin, Hill, Laurin, Mikulincer, and Granqvist (2018) present four experimental studies exploring how the social support system of state welfare might influence the accessibility of religious concepts and function as a surrogate for religious beliefs when primed with threats. Their research emphasizes the importance of comparing religious systems with other cultural phenomena as well as the necessity of testing hypothesis in cross-cultural settings. Cragun et al.'s (2018) article contributes the growing literature detailing how processes of secularization unfold by intergenerational transmission. Past research has been restricted by limited measurement instruments; however, Cragun and col-

leagues use a multiitem measure of nonreligiousness and nonspirituality, finding adolescents are substantially more secular than their caregivers. Galen's (2018) article suggests that by studying the nonreligious, the psychology of religion can better understand itself. For example, although the salutary effects associated with religious and spiritual variables are often attributed to the putative uniqueness of these worldviews, Galen critically reviews key studies using religious and nonreligious samples, concluding that secular mechanisms may be the driving force behind these effects.

These articles highlight starting points and paths for continued growth in developing methodologies and theories that will allow atheists and the nonreligious to be understood apart from, yet compared with, their religious counterparts. It is a well-worn scientific truism to proclaim more research is needed in a given field. As guest editors of this special issue, we are pleased to present articles that will grow the psychological study of the nonreligious, and we further the plea that much more research is needed.

References

- Bradley, D. F., Exline, J. J., Uzdevaines, A., Stauner, N., & Grubbs, J. B. (2018). The reasons of atheists and agnostics for nonbelief in God's existence scale: Development and initial validation. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality, 10*, 263–275. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/rel0000199>
- Brown, C. (2017). The necessity of atheism: Making sense of secularisation. *Journal of Religious History, 41*, 439–456. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467-9809.12448>
- Bullivant, S. (2018). Europe's young adults and religion: Findings from the European Social Survey (2014–16) to inform the 2018 Synod of Bishops. Benedict XVI Centre for Religion and Society 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.stmarys.ac.uk/research/centres/benedict-xvi/docs/2018-mar-europe-young-people-report-eng.pdf>
- Caldwell-Harris, C., Wilson, A., LoTempio, E., & Beit-Hallahmi, B. (2011). Exploring the atheist personality: Well-being, awe, and magical thinking in atheists, Buddhists, and Christians. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 14*, 659–672. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2010.509847>
- Cheng, Z. H., Pagano, L. A., Jr., & Shariff, A. F. (2018). The development and validation of the Microaggressions Against Non-Religious Individuals Scale (MANRIS). *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality, 10*, 254–262. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/rel0000203>
- Cimino, R., & Smith, C. (2015). Secularist rituals in the U. S.: Solidarity and legitimization. In L. Beaman & S. Tomlins (Eds.), *Atheist identities: Spaces and social contexts* (pp. 87–100). New York, NY: Springer.
- Coleman, T. J., III, & Arrowood, R. W. (2015). Only we can save ourselves: An atheist's "salvation". In H. Bacon, W. Dossett, & S. Knowles (Eds.), *Alternative salvations: Engaging the sacred and the secular* (pp. 11–20). London, England: Bloomsbury.
- Coleman, T. J., III, Hood, R. W., Jr., & Shook, J. (2015). An introduction to atheism, secularism, and science. *Science, Religion and Culture, 2*, 1–14. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.src/2015/2.3.1.14>
- Coleman, T. J., III, & Jong, J. (2018). Counting the nonreligious. In A. Ai, K. Harris & P. Wink (Eds.), *Assessing spirituality and religion in a diversified world: Beyond the mainstream perspective*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Coleman, T. J., III, Silver, C. F., & Holcombe, J. (2013). Focusing on horizontal transcendence: Much more than a "non-belief." *Essays in the Philosophy of Humanism, 21*, 1–18. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1558/eph.v21i2.1>
- Cragun, R. T., Hammer, J. H., Nielsen, M., & Autz, N. (2018). Religious/secular distance: How far apart are teenagers and their parents? *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality, 10*, 288–295. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/rel0000205>
- Deal, P. J., & Magyar-Russell, G. (2018). Sanctification theory: Is non-theistic sanctification, nontheistic enough? *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality, 10*, 244–253. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/rel0000204>
- Delaney, D. (2016). *Secular but not superficial: An overlooked nonreligious/nonspiritual identity* (Master's thesis). University of Louisville. Retrieved from <https://ir.library.louisville.edu/etd/2578/>
- Dennett, D. C., & Lascola, L. (2010). Preachers who are not believers. *Evolutionary Psychology, 8*, 122–150. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/147470491000800113>
- Farias, M. (2013). The psychology of atheism. In S. Bullivant & M. Ruse (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of atheism* (pp. 468–482). Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Farias, M., Coleman, T. J., III, Bartlett, J. E., Oviedo, L., Soares, P., Santos, T., & Bas, M. (2018). Atheists on the Santiago Way: Examining motivations to go on pilgrimage. *Sociology of Religion*. Advance online publication. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/socrel/sry019>
- Farias, M., & Lalljee, M. (2008). Holistic individualism in the Age of Aquarius: Measuring individualism/collectivism in New Age, Catholic, and atheist/agnostic groups. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 47*, 277–289. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5906.2008.00407.x>
- Galen, L. W. (2018). Focusing on the nonreligious reveals secular mechanisms underlying well-being and prosociality. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality, 10*, 296–306. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/rel0000202>
- Gervais, W. M. (2014). Everything is permitted? People intuitively judge immorality as representative of atheists. *PLoS One, 9*, e92302. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0092302>
- Gervais, W., & Najle, M. (2018). How many atheists are there? *Social Psychological & Personality Science, 9*, 3–10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1948550617707015>
- Gervais, W., Xygalatas, D., McKay, R., van Elk, M., Buchtel, E., Aveyard, M., . . . Bulbulia, J. (2017). Global evidence of extreme intuitive moral prejudice against atheists. *Nature Human Behaviour, 1*, 0151. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41562-017-0151>
- Gruneau Brulin, J., Hill, P. C., Laurin, K., Mikulincer, M., & Granqvist, P. (2018). Religion vs. the welfare state—The importance of cultural context for religious schematicity and priming. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality, 10*, 276–287. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/rel0000200>
- Hood, R. W., Jr., Hill, P., & Spilka, B. (2018). *The psychology of religion: An empirical approach* (5th ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Houtman, D., & Mascini, P. (2002). Why do churches become empty, while New Age grows? Secularization and religious change in the Netherlands. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 41*, 455–473. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1468-5906.00130>
- Keller, B., Bullik, R., Klein, C., & Swanson, S. B. (2018). Profiling atheist world views in different cultural contexts: Developmental trajectories and accounts. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality, 10*, 229–243. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/rel0000212>
- Keysar, A. (2017). Religious/non-religious demography and religion v. science: A global perspective. In P. Zuckerman & J. Shook (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of secularism* (pp. 40–54). Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Keysar, A., & Navarro-Rivera, J. (2013). In S. Bullivant & M. Ruse (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of atheism* (pp. 554–586). Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Klinger, E. (2012). The search for meaning in evolutionary goal-theory perspective and its clinical implications. In P. Wong (Ed.), *The human quest for meaning: Theories, research, and applications* (2nd ed., pp. 23–56). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Lee, L. (2014). Secular or nonreligious? Investigating and interpreting generic 'not religious' categories and populations. *Religion, 44*, 466–482. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0048721X.2014.904035>
- Paulhus, D. (2002). Socially desirable responding: The evolution of a

- construct. In H. Braun, D. Jackson, & D. Wiley (Eds.), *The role of constructs in psychological and educational measurement* (pp. 49–69). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Preston, J., & Shin, F. (2017). Spiritual experiences evoke awe through the small self in both religious and non-religious individuals. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 70*, 212–221. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2016.11.006>
- Quack, J., & Schuh, C. (2017). *Religious indifference*. Cham: Springer. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-48476-1>
- Raghavarao, D., & Federer, W. (1979). Block total response as an alternative to the randomized response method in surveys. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B. Methodological, 41*, 40–45.
- Rossano, M. (2012). Ritually faking belief. *Religion, Brain & Behavior, 2*, 81–83. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/2153599X.2012.667952>
- Schmidt, T., & Wohlrab-Sahr, M. (2003). Still the most areligious part of the world: Developments in the religious field in Eastern Germany since 1990. *International Journal of Practical Theology, 7*, 86–100.
- Schnell, T. (2015). Dimensions of secularity (DoS): An open inventory to measure facets of secular identities. *International Journal for the Psychology of Religion, 25*, 272–292. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10508619.2014.967541>
- Schnell, T., & Keenan, W. (2011). Meaning-making in an atheist world. *Archiv für Religionspsychologie, 33*, 55–78. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/157361211X564611>
- Shrell-Fox, P. (2015). When rabbis lose faith: Twelve rabbis tell their stories about their loss of belief in God. *Science, Religion and Culture, 2*, 131–146. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.src/2015/2.3.131.146>
- Shults, F. L., Gore, R., Lemos, C., & Wildman, W. J. (2018). Why do the Godless prosper? Modeling the cognitive and coalitional mechanisms that promote atheism. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality, 10*, 218–228. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/rel0000198>
- Silver, C. F., Coleman, T. J., III, Hood, R. W., Jr., & Holcombe, J. (2014). The six types of nonbelief: A qualitative and quantitative study of type and narrative. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 17*, 990–1001. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2014.987743>
- Smith, J. (2011). Becoming an atheist in America: Constructing identity and meaning from the rejection of theism. *Sociology of Religion, 72*, 215–237. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/socrel/srq082>
- Smith, J. (2013). Creating a Godless community: The collective identity work of contemporary American atheists. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 52*, 80–99. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jssr.12009>
- Speed, D. (2017). Unbelievable?! Theistic/epistemological viewpoint affects religion–health relationship. *Journal of Religion and Health, 56*, 238–257. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10943-016-0271-2>
- Speed, D., Coleman, T. J., III, & Langston, J. (2018). What do you mean, “What does it all mean?” Atheism, nonreligion, and life meaning. *SAGE Open, 8*, 215824401775423. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2158244017754238>
- Speed, D., & Fowler, K. (2016). What’s God got to do with it? How religiosity predicts Atheists’ health. *Journal of Religion and Health, 55*, 296–308. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10943-015-0083-9>
- Speed, D., & Hwang, K. (2017). Heretic, heal thyself! Atheism, nonreligion, and health. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*. Advance online publication. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/rel0000158>
- Stinespring, J., & Cragun, R. (2015). Simple Markov model for estimating the growth of nonreligion in the United States. *Science, Religion and Culture, 2*, 96–103. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.src/2015/2.3.96.103>
- Streib, H., & Klein, C. (2013). Atheists, agnostics, and apostates. In K. Pargament (Ed.), *American Psychological Association. APA Handbook of Psychology, Religion and Spirituality* (pp. 713–728). Washington D.C.: American Psychological Association. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/14045-040>
- Taves, A., Asprem, E., & Ihm, E. (2018). Psychology, meaning making, and the study of worldviews: Beyond religion and non-religion. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality, 10*, 207–217. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/rel0000201>
- The Clergy Project. (2018). *The clergy project*. Retrieved from <http://clergyproject.org/>
- Twenge, J. M., Exline, J. J., Grubbs, J. B., Sastry, R., & Campbell, W. K. (2015). Generational and time period differences in American adolescents’ religious orientation, 1966–2014. *PLoS One, 10*, e0121454. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121454>
- Uzarevic, F., Saroglou, V., & Clobert, M. (2017). Are atheists undogmatic? *Personality and Individual Differences, 116*, 164–170. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.04.046>
- Zuckerman, P. (2009). Atheism, secularity, and well-being: How the findings of social science counter negative stereotypes and assumptions. *Sociology Compass, 3*, 949–971. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9020.2009.00247.x>

Received May 2, 2018

Accepted May 2, 2018 ■